

**BEFORE THE CHRISTCHURCH REPLACEMENT  
DISTRICT PLAN INDEPENDENT HEARINGS PANEL**

**IN THE MATTER**

of the Resource Management Act 1991  
and the Canterbury Earthquake  
(Christchurch Replacement District Plan)  
Order 2014

**AND**

**IN THE MATTER**

of the Commercial Proposal (part)

---

---

**EVIDENCE OF**

Raymond John Edwards

On behalf of submissions

Airport Business Park Limited (#1071)

**SITE**

92 Russley Road, Christchurch

**DATE**

24 April 2015

## **INTRODUCTION**

1. My full name is Raymond John Edwards, and I act as an authorised agent on behalf of Airport Business Park Ltd (#1071). My position, qualifications and experience have been set out in other evidence presented to the Panel and, owing to the nature of this evidence stating that we no longer seek to be heard, it is not intended to repeat that information here.

## **BACKGROUND**

2. The Airport Business Park is located within Business 4 zone of the Operative City Plan. This zone specifically provides for office developments such as those which have been constructed and established on the site. The site is now fully developed.
3. The originally proposed zoning of the subject land was for *Industrial Office*. Airport Business Park Ltd lodged a submission in support of the proposed zoning of the subject site to Industrial Office as identified on Planning Map 30.
4. We are aware that it is now proposed to name the zone *Commercial Office* and that it is essentially the same type of zoning.
5. We remain go the view that the proposed zoning would better allow the site to legitimately function as a more legible office development and that the outcome in terms of the proposed new zoning provides for a more efficient and sustainable use of the subject land. Furthermore, the zoning will consolidate the office activity in a manner and form which supports the outcomes of the Proposed Replacement District Plan.
6. The submitter is not aware of any submissions in opposition to the *Commercial Office* zoning. Owing to the absence of any opposition, the matter is not addressed in the evidence of Mr Mark Stevenson on behalf of the Council.

## **PURPOSE OF DOCUMENT**

7. The purpose of this document is to notify the Panel that **we no longer seek to be heard in support of our submission**. This is owing to the absence of any opposition to the proposed *Commercial Office* zoning, and noting Council's current position of continued support for the proposed rezoning.