

## APPENDIX 1

Volume	Topic/Reference	Relief Sought
<b>Volume 1 – Chapter 3 - Strategic Directions</b>		
Page 9	<b>3.4.4.4 Residential Amenity</b>	<p>The Board supports the provisions as notified by the Council.</p> <p>The accompanying commentary as set out on pages 2 and 3 of the Board’s submission describes the context and reasoning for the support offered.</p> <p>The narrative in 14.2.2.3 Restricted Discretionary Activities of this Appendix also refers.</p>
Page 9	<b>3.4.4.5 – Health and safety of people and the environment</b> re quarrying activities	<p>As requested in the Board’s submission (page 5):</p> <p>‘That the Plan rules control quarrying from being established in new locations particularly where there are sensitive and/or residential adjoining land uses’.</p>
Page 15	<b>3.5.3.3 – The District’s culture and heritage is valued</b>	<p>That the Plan afford the Riccarton Racecourse site with an appropriate zoning protection.</p>
Page 22	<b>3.6.3.1 – Development of Maori reserves</b>	<p>That the Owaka Heritage Trail be recognised in the Plan in accordance with tikanga Maori.</p>
Page 23	<b>3.6.4.1 – Freshwater features</b>	<p>That Hendersons Basin be recognised in the Plan as a natural wetland akin to Travis Wetland.</p>

<b>Volume 1 – Chapter 5 – Natural Hazards</b>		
Page 5	<b>5.3.3 Policy – Protection of flood storage and overflow areas</b>	The Board supports the provisions as notified by the Council.
Page 6	<b>5.4.1 Policy - Geotechnical risk including liquefaction susceptibility</b>	The Board supports the provisions as notified by the Council.
<b>Volume 2 – Chapter 14 Residential (Part)</b>		
Page 8	<b>14.1.5 Objective – High quality residential development</b>	The Board supports the provisions as notified by the Council.
Page 9	<b>14.1.5.3 a i and ii Character of low and medium density areas</b>	The Board supports the provisions as notified by the Council.
Page 13	<b>14.1.7 Objective - Non Residential activities</b>	The Board supports the provisions as notified by the Council.
Page 13	<b>14.1.7.1 Policy - Residential character</b>	The Board supports the provisions as notified by the Council.
Page 13	<b>14.1.7.3 Policy- Existing non residential activities</b>	The Board supports the provisions as notified by the Council.
Page 25	<b>14.2.2.3 Restricted Discretionary Activities re RD1</b>	The comments below are made in conjunction with the Board's support for the Council indicated in 3.4.4.4 Residential Amenity of this Appendix.  While offering its support in general for the Council's proposals as notified, the Board does seek the following relief:

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• RD1 residential units (including any sleep outs) containing more than <del>six</del><b>five</b> bedrooms in total.</li> <li>• That '<b>visual amenity and social cohesion</b>' be included as assessment matters.</li> <li>• That such activity be assessed as '<b>discretionary</b>' activities rather than 'restricted discretionary activities'.</li> <li>• That the Plan contain a provision whereby in any residential unit in excess of four or more bedrooms being created in an existing house or new development, that there be a rule that a proportion of living space is required for each additional bedroom.</li> </ul>
Page 50 plus	<b>14.3.3.17 Rules – Residential Medium Density Zone</b>	<p>The Board fully supports the concerns of the Deans Avenue Precinct Society that the Plan unnecessarily proposes a new rule (Rule 14.3.3.17 minimum site density from development or redevelopment of residential units, Page 78) that sets minimum numbers of residential units on lot that are developed or redeveloped.</p> <p>The relief sought by the Board is:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. That in any application for a resource consent, these rules be assessed as a '<b>restricted discretionary activity</b>' rather than a non complying activity.</li> <li>2. Rule 14.3.3.17 does not apply to the RMD area bounded by Deans Avenue and the railway line from Lester Lane in the south to Matai Street East in the north.</li> </ol> <p>The Board's submission (page 4) provides the context for this request.</p>

<b>Volume 3 – Chapter 16 - Industrial</b>		
Page 5	<b>16.1.2 Objective 2 – Amenity in industrial zones</b>  <b>16.1.2.1 Policy 8 – Improve visual amenity</b>  <b>16.1.2.2 Policy 9 – Development in greenfield areas</b>	<p>The Board supports the proposals as notified by the Council but with the inclusion of a provision being made within the zone for controlled onsite residential accommodation taking account of reverse sensitivities</p> <p>The Board supports the provisions as notified by the Council.</p> <p>The Board supports the provisions as notified by the Council.</p>
<b>Planning Maps</b>		
Planning 31	<b>Suburban Density Zone</b>	<p>The Board reiterates its strong support for the suburban density zoning for the area north of Riccarton Road in Planning Map 31 (Kahu/Rata/Matai/Harakeke/Kilmarnock).</p>
Planning Map 44	<b>Copper Ridge Subdivision</b>	<p>Given the ongoing delays in the commencement of this residential subdivision in Halswell until such time as the Christchurch Kart Club is relocated from its adjoining Carrs Road site, <b>the Board seeks that a part of the intended subdivision area immediately adjoining the Kart Club, be rezoned from residential to light industrial.</b></p> <p>The rationale for this zoning change is so that less noise sensitive land uses can be established within the intended subdivision.</p>
Planning Maps 16, 22 and 23	<b>Christchurch International Airport</b>	<p>The Board restates its support for the Christchurch City Council’s zoning provisions for adjacent land areas to the airport and reiterates its opposition to any submission that could be seen to put at risk the existing uncurfewed operation of the Christchurch International Airport.</p>

Planning  
Maps  
(where  
relevant)

**High Quality Versatile Soils**

The Board respectfully requests that the Panel, in its deliberations on submissions, consider land areas having high quality soils to be appropriately zoned for food production.